

## MHHS Cross Code Advisory Group Headline Report

Issue date: 25/08/2022

Meeting number	<b>CCAG009</b>	Venue	<b>Virtual – MS Teams</b>
Date and time	<b>24 August 2022 10:00-12:00</b>	Classification	<b>Public</b>

### Actions

Area	Action Ref	Action	Owner	Due Date
<b>Minutes and Actions</b>	CCAG09-01	Chair to follow-up with MHHS Testing Workstream regarding response to CH query on qualification.	Chair	31/08/2022
	CCAG09-02	All Code Bodies to confirm approach to legal review of code text (e.g. will this occur during each drafting topic prior to consultation, or later, for example, during consistency review, etc.).	Code Bodies	14/09/2022
<b>Horizon Scanning Log</b>	CCAG09-03	BSC Representative to check whether recent BSC sandbox application affects MHHS.	BSC Representative (Lawrence Jones)	14/09/2022
	CCAG09-04	CCAG to provide reminder to MHHS Design Team to resource attendance at CCAG.	Chair	14/09/2022
<b>Design Success Criteria</b>	CCAG09-05	Highlight BSC MHHS success criteria to DAG.	Programme (PMO)	14/09/2022
<b>Code Drafting Approach Decisions</b>	CCAG09-06	Programme to produce key code drafting dependencies relating to qualification to inform view of code drafting and text activation requirements.	Programme (Andrew Margan)	14/09/2022
	CCAG09-07	Programme to update Programme plan with latest code drafting inputs through the MHHS replan activities.	Programme (Becca Fox)	09/09/2022
	CCAG09-08	Programme to engage with Ofgem regarding CCAG decision to link M7/M8 delivery to M10.	Programme (Andrew Margan)	14/09/2022
<b>AOB</b>	CCAG09-09	Programme to confirm where/how DIP data specification is hosted, managed, and owned.	Programme (Design Team)	14/09/2022

	CCAG09-10	CCAG members to discuss with constituents whether a pre-CCAG webinar would be of value and provide views to Programme to enable decision	CCAG members	14/09/2022
<b>Previous Meeting(s)</b>	CCAG07-11	Consider the enduring referencing and hosting of design artefacts and how this should be brought into each code. Update the code draft principles for approval in July CCAG.	Programme (Andrew Margan)	20/07/2022
	CCAG08-01	Speak with design team and clarify the process of how data item industry changes are tracked and managed within the Programme	Programme (Fraser Mathieson)	17/08/22
	CCAG08-04	Meet with Justin Andrews (DAG chair) to discuss CCAG member concerns that some design artefacts are not sufficient to draft code from	Chris Welby	17/08/22
	CCAG08-05	Discuss with REC any implications for code drafting as a result of MHHS on the REC that sit outside scope of the Programme design. Raise with design as required (e.g. through CCIAG)	Programme (Jason Brogden)	17/08/22
	CCAG08-06	Provide feedback and supporting rationale on whether new code needs to be implemented for qualification (i.e. if qualification start is dependent on M6 (CCAG approval of code) or M8 (code implementation)). If code does not need to be implemented for qualification, provide feedback and rationale on the time at which new code does need to be implemented.	CCAG members	17/08/22
	CCAG08-07	Progress discussions to determine where the enduring solution for hosting design artefacts and bring back to CCAG	Programme (Jason Brogden)	17/08/22
	CCAG08-08	Determine the approach to drafting topic areas that will not be drafted from the design baseline (e.g. qualification, transition) and bring to back to CCAG.	Programme	17/08/22

### Decisions

Area	Dec Ref	Decision
Minutes	CCAG-DEC17	Minutes of meeting held 27 July 2022 approved
Items for approval	CCAG-DEC18	Code Drafting Working Group (CDWG) Terms of Reference approved

### RAID Items Discussed

RAID area	Description
Code Drafting Approach	Add assumption to RAID that code bodies will determine legal review requirements for code drafting as required for their code.

### Key Discussion items

Area	Discussion
------	------------

<p><b>Minutes and Actions</b></p>	<p>The CCAG reviewed the open and outstanding actions from previous meetings, full details of which will be provided in the CCAG Minutes and Actions.</p> <p>Regarding ACTION CCAG08-01, the mapping of Data Integration Platform (DIP) flows and existing Data Transfer Network (DTN) flows, recently published with the design artefact release, was recommended as a useful reference point for the group to data flow changes. The <a href="#">D-Flow and Interact Mapping</a> document is available on the <a href="#">MHHS Collaboration Base</a>.</p> <p>Regarding CCAG08-04, the group was advised to provide examples of design artefacts their constituents have concerns about and to encourage constituents to raise these within consultation responses.</p> <p>The CCAG considered elements of qualification, whether System Integration Testing (SIT) replaces qualification testing for early adopters, and the need for further clarity (see <b>ACTION CCAG09-01</b>). The group also considered the need to review legal input in the code draft plan on a case-by-case basis and agreed code-bodies should confirm their approach (see <b>ACTION CCAG09-02</b>).</p>
<p><b>Programme Updates</b></p>	<p>There is significant work on the Programme replan, with another round of consultation opening in September. There are replan drop-in sessions on Thursday afternoon and Friday morning for CCAG members to join. The Programme is also hosting design playback sessions to walk participants through the end-to-end design and ask questions at surgery and deep-dive sessions.</p>
<p><b>Horizon Scanning Log</b></p>	<p>The group considered the efficacy of the <a href="#">CCAG Horizon Scanning Log</a> process. CCAG members noted the need for an improved process, and observed the log is out-of-date as it fails to reflect when code changes have been approved or rejected.</p> <p>A Supplier Representative observed the log does not seem to add value to the Code Administration Code of Practice (CACoP) Central Modification Register. The group confirmed the log's purpose to inform the Programme of any changes that may affect MHHS and discussed the importance of the triage process whereby the MHHS Design Team assess the impacts of external industry change.</p> <p>The Independent Supplier Agent Representative noted the implications of sandbox applications under the BSC whether this would affect MHHS (see <b>ACTION CCAG09-03</b>).</p> <p>CCAG members queried how the Programme would be represented in code consultation processes and stressed the importance of representation once design is baselined to triage and manage code changes which may impact the baselined design (see <b>ACTION CCAG09-04</b>).</p>
<p><b>Design Success Criteria</b></p>	<p>The group reviewed the approved criteria that DAG would assess ahead of M5. As code bodies are required to deliver the code drafting, and confidence is needed to ensure design transition, a CCAG meeting would be held ahead of M5 also to confirm the suitability of design artefacts for code drafting.</p> <p>The Independent Supplier Agent Representative shared the MHHS success criteria published by the BSC as referenced in the <a href="#">digitised code</a>. The group agreed the Design Advisory Group should be made aware of this criteria for consideration (see <b>ACTION CCAG09-05</b>).</p>
<p><b>Code Drafting Approach Decisions</b></p>	<p>The Programme discussed the approach to several code drafting areas. CCAG members provided feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Prototyping</b> – CCAG members noted the decision on use of iServer for design artefact hosting will be key to getting code drafters mobilised.</li> <li>• <b>Legal text activation</b> – CCAG members agreed certainty of code drafting via CCAG approval will be sufficient for participants to commence internal activities. Members were asked to provide feedback on whether qualification start was dependent on M6 (CCAG approval of code drafting) or M8 (code implementation) (see <b>ACTION CCAG08-06</b>). Early feedback suggests the latter and this would therefore require a change to the current approach in the code draft plan and allow for legal text activation to occur closer to code implementation.</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Code drafting from artefacts outside the baseline design</b> – CCAG members queried how areas such as qualification would be drafted, given they are separate from artefacts in the baseline design. The Programme took an action to explore this in more detail (<b>ACTION CCAG08-08</b>).</li> <li>• <b>Enduring artefact hosting solution</b> – the CCAG provided feedback on whether iServer could be used to reference design artefacts in the code (rather than replicating artefacts in the code itself), and what the enduring solution would be for hosting design artefacts after the Programme. The Programme will explore this in more detail, noting the prototyping currently underway (<b>ACTION CCAG08-07</b>).</li> </ul>
<b>dPMO tool</b>	The Governance Lead noted any CCAG members that would like to request access can do so by contacting <a href="mailto:PPC@mhsprogramme.co.uk">PPC@mhsprogramme.co.uk</a> .
<b>CDWG update</b>	As code drafting work is yet to start, the CCAG agreed to stand down the upcoming September CDWG. The CDWG Terms of Reference (ToR) was approved subject to minor amendments.
<b>Summary and Next Steps</b>	The BSC Representative asked where the DIP Data Specification could be located, and an action was taken to confirm this (see <b>ACTION CCAG09-09</b> ). CCAG members took an action to discuss with constituents whether a pre-CCAG webinar would be of value to inform participants of the items under discussion at the CCAG (see <b>ACTION 09-10</b> ).

**Next Meeting: 28 September 2022**